



## BUDAPEST 2015 - GOVERNING BOARD – MINUTES

### I. UPCOMING DELIVERABLES

8 deliverables have to be done by the end of September 2015. 5 are due for the end of April 2015.

WP n°	Deliverable N°	Title	Version	Lead beneficiary	Person months	Nature	Dissemination level	Document type	Delivery date from Annex I (proj month)	Forecast date	Status
2	2	Results of survey for national case-studies	0.0	John Wesley College	113.0	Demonstrator	PU		30/04/2015 (26 months)	30/04/2015	Pending
3	2	Presentation of results at Mid-term Workshop	0.0	ERASMUS UNIVERSITEIT ROTTERDAM	26.0	Other	PU		30/04/2015 (26 months)	30/04/2015	Pending
4	1	Presentation of provisional results of task 1, 2 and 3 in mid-term workshop	0.0	THE CHANCELLOR, MASTERS AND SCHOLARS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE	50.0	Other	PU		30/04/2015 (26 months)	30/04/2015	Pending
5	1	First report on obstacles to international and interdisciplinary cooperation	0.0	UNIVERSITAET GRAZ	10.0	Report	PP		30/04/2015 (26 months)	30/04/2015	Pending
6	3	Mid-term workshop	0.0	John Wesley College	1.0	Other	PU		30/04/2015 (26 months)	30/04/2015	Pending
6	4	Policy Brief on obstacles to international and interdisciplinary cooperation	0.0	UNIVERSITAET GRAZ	1.0	Other	PU		30/04/2015 (26 months)	30/04/2015	Pending
1	2	Periodic report	0.0	CENTRE NATIONAL DE LA RECHERCHE SCIENTIFIQUE	6.0	Report	CO		31/08/2015 (30 months)	31/08/2015	Pending
3	3	Presentation of results at Workshop on Latin America"	0.0	CONSEJO NACIONAL DE INVESTIGACIONES CIENTIFICAS Y TECNICAS	23.0	Other	PU		30/09/2015 (31 months)	30/09/2015	Pending

The coordinators concerned:

- CEU:
  - **2.2 Results of survey for national case-studies:**

The method is to gather all the data and send the synthesis.

The question is how the results should be presented. Country by country?

It was stress that the table made by Matthias Duller has a lot of lacks, but it could be compensated by the important materials already gathered. If it's still lacking, « *missing data* » should thus be written.

Victor Karády has asked if studies should be included and Gisele Sapiro answered that they can be included in appendix, but that it was not compulsory.
  - **6.3 Mid-term workshop**

It is the juxtaposition of the programs, abstracts and presentations of the Budapest Conference.
- Erasmus Universiteit:
  - **3.2 Presentation of results at Mid-term workshop**

It consists of the papers presented during the conference
- Cambridge:
  - **4.1 Presentation of provisional results of task 1, 2 and 3 in mid-term workshops**

It consists of the papers presented during the conference
- Graz:
  - **5.1 First report on obstacles to international and interdisciplinary cooperation**
  - **6.4 Policy Brief on obstacles to international and interdisciplinary cooperation**

Christian Fleck has proposed to summarise the obstacles to get data. It could be relatively short, accompanied with examples. Gisèle Sapiro has said that she has a template for this.
- CONICET:
  - **3.3 Presentation of results at workshop on Latin America**

Similar deliverable as the ones done for previous conferences (programs, abstracts and presentations).

## II. NEWSLETTER

We don't have any obligation to make one. But it's a good way of communication, useful regarding the Commission and might be a good preliminary work for the scientific report.

Two weeks are necessary for the lay outing.

Six or seven papers were proposed:

- Thibaud Boncourt on association
- Barbara Grüning on Hannah Arendt
- Gisèle Sapiro on translation
- Patrick Baert and Marcus Morgan on the presentation of their books
- Victor Karády and Peter Tibor Nagy on prosopography
- Fernanda Beigel on journals
- Christian Fleck, Rafael Schoegler and Matthias Duller on the EU elections.

## III. 2ND PERIODIC REPORT

The next periodic report is at the end of August. It would be important to already anticipate its preparation and to plan a schedule.

The document that has to be produced is of the same kind as the one done the last time. It would be only covering the period between the 1<sup>st</sup> March of 2014 and the 31 August 2015. The scientific activities as well as the expenses have to be reported. It is really important to remind that the expenses have to concur with the scientific activities reported.

The partners will have to provide firstly a document in PDF form. A hard copy has also to be sent within 60 days after the end of the period. It is really important to respect the deadline, as the next payment is tied to its fulfilment.

To these minutes are attached for the coordinators a periodic report template as well as the last periodic report.

### **Scientific report:**

A few remarks based on what happened during the last periodic report:

- The presentation has to be harmonised. The European Project Manager will prepare a detailed list of guidelines that would have to be respected for your writing.
- The partners must write in the third-person. The use of "we" is prohibited.

- Each coordinator should draft his own text based on the inputs he received. Juxtaposing all the texts is not enough and would be inevitably notified by the Project Officer

#### **Administrative report:**

A few important things:

- The Deadline: Taking into account the calendar (right after the summer holidays) and as the report should be sent within 60 days of the end of the period, an optimal deadline would be the 15<sup>th</sup> of July 2015 for the partners to send their information to the project manager at the latest in order to prepare a first draft. If any other expenses is done between this date and the 31<sup>th</sup> of August 2015, the partners must send an updated version.
- Homogenous declaration of costs: In order to ease this procedure, the manager of the project, Arthur Delaborde has proposed to harmonise the declaration. In this sense, a common template for the use of resources would be sent to the partners.
- Eligibility of costs: A document describing in details the eligibility of costs will be sent to the partners.

## **IV. NEXT MEETING**

The next governing board meeting would take place during the workshop in Rotterdam, at the end of February 2016.

## **V. PUBLICATION PROJECTS**

A list of publications envisaged:

Books: 3 volumes have been proposed out of the results of INTERCO to Palgrave for starting a series after they expressed high interest in the project; we are waiting for their answer:

- *The Institutionalization of the Social and Human Sciences in Europe*. Edited by Christian Fleck, Viktor Karady and Matthias Duller (WP2)
- *The Social and Human Sciences in a Global Perspective*, edited by Thibaud Boncourt, Johan Heilbron and Gustavo Sora (WP3)
- *Ideas on the move. Paradigms, Theories, Key Thinkers and Controversies in the Social and Human Sciences*, edited by Patrick Baert, Marco Santoro and Gisèle Sapiro (WP4)

Journals

- An issue of *Actes de la recherche en sciences sociales* on interdisciplinarity edited by Johan Heilbron and Yves Gingras will be published next year (WP3, task 1).

- “*Sociologica*”. Six or seven papers can be edited through it. The theme would be key-thinkers. Editors: Patrick Baert, Marco Santoro and Gisèle Sapiro. (WP4, task 2)
- “*Studi Culturali*”
- “*Rassegna Italiana di Sociologia*” – could host papers from WP2
- “*Journal of International Politics, Culture, and Society*”. The theme would be paradigms and methods. Five papers will be fine. Six or seven would be ok. Editors: Marco Santoro and Gisèle Sapiro (WP4, task 1).
- It was proposed that *History of European Sociology* would be solicited instead of *Journal of Classical Sociology* for the data from WP2 related to sociology. (WP2)
- “*European Societies*”: the theme would be the European research space, it could publish some of the papers presented at the Rotterdam workshop. Editor: Johan Heilbron (WP3, task 3)
- “*Kölner Zeitschrift für Soziologie und Sozialpsychologie*”. Ten to fifteen papers have to be done. Editor: Christian Fleck and Victor Karady (WP2).
- “*Actes de la recherche en sciences sociales*”. With a theme on transnational intellectual fields. Editor: Gisèle Sapiro
- “*Revue d’histoire des sciences humaines*”. The theme would be internationalisation. Editor: Johan Heilbron.
- *Desarrollo Economica* (vol. 55, may 2015) : papers on translation in Argentina (WP3, task 4)

Gustavo Sora is thinking of another special issue, *Papeles de Trabajo* (Université Nationale de San Martín) for 2016, that would include other partners.

Victor Karady also evoked a publication in Hungarian.

NB: People who haven’t received funds from Interco can’t be accounted for the deliverables. And a person who has received funds needs to be published. A paper could jointly be written by someone from Interco and others authors that are not of the project.

Task 7 of the dissemination plan

The working paper on the European research space in the SSH written by Johan Heilbron, Thibaud Boncourt, Gisèle Sapiro and Rafael Schoegler will be publish on our website.

Next Policy Brief:

The second policy brief next year will be dedicated to the issue of journals and open access. This issue is really important, as some universities now can’t afford anymore to subscribe to publishers. Prices are particular unfair, as private conglomerates like

Springer, Sage and so on are making profits on knowledge. They ask authors to pay 2-3000 dollars in order to publish in open access in journals they hold. SSH laboratories cannot afford such costs. The model of CAIRN in France proposes a more fair deal, with no cost for the authors, cheaper access for the public and open access after three years. The European Commission should be aware of that and can make some pressure about it.

Gisèle Sapiro thinks it would be useful to share experiences about this issue in prevision of this policy brief.

Christian Fleck said that Berkeley has sent a letter to all publishers warning them that everything published from Berkeley should be on open-access. Johan Heilbron stressed that some contracts you sign include a clause that do not allow to publish as well in University's reviews. Patrick Baert concluded that it was important to be very careful about what would be included in this brief.

## VI. WORKSHOP IN ROTTERDAM

It would be between the 24<sup>th</sup> and the 26 February 2016. The theme would be "The Social Sciences and Humanities in the European Research Area".

A case study on the European University on Florence could be presented by Thibaud Boncourt, who will also present his work on European associations. Victor Karády has also proposed that he may present a study during the conference.

## VII. ANY OTHER BUSINESS

Patrick Baert said that someone should contact others journals to check if they have a sense of interest for specific papers or issues.

Gustavo Sorá has made a short presentation on the next Interco Conference in Cordoba, Argentina. It will take place between the 10<sup>th</sup> and 12<sup>th</sup> of September 2015. About 21 papers will be presented. Until now, 18 abstracts were received and 3 others are expected. Among the coordinators, Marco Santoro and Gisèle Sapiro will participate in the Conference. Victor Karády might also come.

Gisele Sapiro has proposed to make another meeting regarding WP2. It would be a workshop in Paris, on the 8<sup>th</sup> and 9<sup>th</sup> December 2015.